



## SUMMARY OF JULY 27, 2022, TECHNICAL SESSION #4

On July 27, 2022, PNM held the fourth in the series of technical sessions for stakeholders devoted to discussing the advantages and disadvantages regarding the application of different technical methodologies within the modeling framework for the 2023 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP). PNM staff and a representative of the firm CDG Engineers, Inc. (CDG) presented a host of modeling updates using the EnCompass software that will be incorporated into the upcoming results.

This presentation on modeling focused on PNM's continuing improvements and performance testing as well as updating the modeling of energy efficiency bundles. CDG presented an overview of long duration storage featuring technologies that would move beyond the lithium-ion battery storage featured in the 2020 IRP to include pumped storage and hydrogen storage.

## **MEETING ATTENDEES**

Director of Integrated Resource Planning Nick Phillips and the presenters welcomed questions and feedback on the framework and storage options from the 40 stakeholders attending the virtual session. Participants, not including PNM staff, included members of the public and representatives from the following organizations: Brubaker & Associates, CSolPower, Form Energy, Grid Strategies, InterWest Energy Alliance, New Mexico State University, New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (NMPRC), New Mexico Renewable Energy Transmission Authority (NM RETA), and Sandia National Laboratories.

Meeting slides can be found <u>here</u>.

Stakeholders raised the following questions.







## STAKEHOLDER QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

Stakeholder	Question/Comment	Categories
NM RETA:	Once the record peak is final, will PNM be breaking down the generation source contributions that were used to meet the peak: For example, what came from San Juan? What came from natural gas generation? What came from solar? What came from wind?	General
Member of the Public:	How would increased distributed generation, especially if it has some backup storage of its own, be factored into the modeling?	Load & Energy Efficiency Forecasting
NMPRC:	Slide 31 shows that if you had, say, a 100 megawatt 4-hour battery storage system, you could dispatch it for 50 megawatts for 8 hours. Would that have any effect on the life of the batteries themselves, or are there any concerns along those lines?	Modeling
Sandia National Laboratories:	Is anyone recovering the H2O after hydrogen compulsion at utility scales?	Modeling
NMPRC:	Would anyone from PNM like to comment on whether the source of hydrogen matters for meeting RPS requirements—whether the current RPS requirements or lifecycle are purely at the point of degeneration, or how and if that is a part of the overall IRP analysis, especially in the longer timeframe?	Modeling
NMPRC:	Can PNM comment on whether the source of hydrogen matters for meeting	Modeling





	RPS requirements—whether the current RPS requirements or lifecycle are purely at the point of degeneration, or how and if that is a part of the overall IRP analysis, especially in the longer timeframe?	
Brubaker & Associates/NM RETA:	For the most expensive energy efficiency bundle, maybe it would make sense to model that and multiple bundles if the EE (energy efficiency) products are different.	Modeling
CSolPower:	What's the readiness technology level for burning hydrogen?	Modeling
CSolPower:	What is the proposed percentage of hydrogen to natural gas for the combustion turbines?	Modeling
InterWest Energy Alliance:	What did the results look like with higher medium import assumptions rather than low import assumptions (Slide 30)?	Modeling
Sandia National Laboratories:	[Looking at] the payback and generation plots (Slide 28), I was just curious about the terminology payback first generation. It sounds like difficult math: if you're getting more than you're putting in.	Modeling
CSolPower:	Considering that to even consider hydrogen—the power generation industry is energy intensive—is dangerous and takes away from an easily decarbonized electric grid. How do you justify this analysis?	Modeling









CSolPower:	When you assume the renewable energy drought, did you assume less need?	Modeling
CSolPower:	What is it going to take for PNM to include thermal storage?	Modeling
Sandia National Laboratories:	[Based on Slide 15], it seems pretty safe to assume that those lower cost energy efficiency bundles are going to be selected by the capacity expansion plan. So, I don't see the harm in free solving the model and saying, if the energy efficiency bundle costs less than \$35, less than \$25 a megawatt hour, just force it in and don't spend the computational power to figure out what should be obvious.	Modeling
Sandia National Laboratories:	Are these results using the zonal model, or are you assuming a copper sheet model? Adding the nodal model and assessing/comparing computational tradeoffs with commitment constraints may be interesting to evaluate.	Modeling
InterWest Energy Alliance:	Some consumer advocates acknowledge that this is a heavy lift for software programs. And yet, we know that huge computers exist in the world. Could you simply resolve that and do this really complicated multivariable modeling by investing a lot more money in your software? I realize that there are real constraints to the money you want to spend but if you spent more, what could you do? What's really possible out there?	Modeling
Form Energy:	Can you talk a little bit about the temporal granularity using the capacity expansion component of the modeling?	Modeling





## All IRP questions and answers can be found <u>here</u>.

The latest future meeting schedule can be found <u>here</u>.